



MISSION VALLEY:
2333 Camino del Rio South
Suite 120
San Diego, CA 92108
(619) 692-2004
(619) 692-2003 (fax)

ORANGE COUNTY:
23048 Avenida de la Carlota
Suite 600
Laguna Hills, CA 92653
(714) 549-1198
(714) 549-1197 (fax)

FAX COVER PAGE

To: EXAMINER ZIMMERMAN

GAM 2635

From: **Karl M. Steins**
Attorney at Law

Fax Number: (703) 872-9314

Fax Number (619) 692-2003 / (714) 549-1197

Date/Time: 9/24/01 1245

Subject: SEE NO. 09/135, 154

3

Pages including this cover sheet.

The information contained in this fax message is PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this fax message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are in possession of CONFIDENTIAL AND PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. You are further requested to return the original fax message to the sender at the address above. Your cooperation is appreciated.

STEINS & ASSOCIATES

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADE SECRETS

E-MAIL: mail@steins-patents.comWEB SITE: <http://www.steins-patents.com>**SAN DIEGO**2333 CAMINO DEL RIO SOUTH
SUITE 120
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108TELEPHONE: (619) 692-2004
FACSIMILE: (619) 692-2003**ORANGE COUNTY**23046 AVENIDA DE LA CARLOTA
SUITE 600
LAGUNA HILLS, CALIFORNIA 92653TELEPHONE: (714) 549-1198
FACSIMILE: (714) 549-1197

September 24, 2001

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Brian A. Zimmerman, Primary Examiner
Group Art Unit: 2635
Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: U.S. Patent Application for **"Improved Standby Mode for Infrared Data Transceivers"**
Serial No: 09/135,154, Filed: 8/17/98

Dear Examiner Zimmerman:

As we discussed today on the telephone, please accept this letter as a request for telephone interview upon your return to the office on or about Tuesday, October 9, 2001. In the event that your plans change, please contact me at your convenience.

In advance of our discussion, and in the interest of expediting our interview, I will summarize today's discussion:

I contacted you in order to provide you with an alternate perspective on the Applicant's invention as it relates to the two references cited and the IrDA Specification, namely:

1. My client's device solves a problem with the IrDA specification. The IrDA "Discovery Signal" has a particular frequency and content that identifies it as such. According to the IrDA specification, devices respond to a received Discovery signal by beginning a "handshake." The problem with the IrDA Specification is that there is no provision for devices to remain in a low-power-demand or standby state unless their Ir receive system is turned completely off. Applicant's device and system solves this by providing an IrDA-compliant device that responds uniquely to a received Discovery signal, namely, it switches from a low-power-demand state to a full-power-demand state.
2. The Kohler device is not IrDA-compliant, or at least it's "wake-up" method is not IrDA-compliant, and therefore it does not solve the problem with the IrDA


- 2/2 -

specification. Since the Kohler device is unable to determine whether an incident Ir signal is an IrDA-compliant Discovery signal, it will not respond any differently to it than it would to any other incident Ir signal – it will wake up first, and then attempt to interpret the nature of future incident signals. Again, Kohler fails to solve the deficiency in the IrDA specification.

3. The Selin device is also not IrDA-compliant in its “sleep mode” of operation. The Selin “sleep mode” is characterized by the device “receiving” for a “short time” at regular intervals, between which the device does not receive any signals at all. The Selin device fails to solve the deficiency in the IrDA specification because unless it is “receiving,” (at full power) it will not respond to an incident IrDA-compliant Discovery signal.

Hopefully this new characterization of the case and the references will lead to your agreement with me that Applicant's device is novel and nonobvious. I look forward to discussing this case with you further on or about the 9th.

Very Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Karl M. Steins', with a large, stylized initial 'K' and a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Karl M. Steins

Reg. No. 40,186